UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Jose Guadal	v. upe Montanez-Montoya ^{Defendant}	Case No. 1:15-cr-00097-JTN
After conducting that the defendant be def		ail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part	t I – Findings of Fact
	offense a state or local off	cribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of fense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	of violence as defined in 18 U.S. e prison term is 10 years or more	C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for e.
an offens	se for which the maximum sente	ence is death or life imprisonment.
an offen	se for which a maximum prison t	term of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	committed after the defendant h 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparabl	ad been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e state or local offenses.
any felor	ny that is not a crime of violence a minor victim	
<u> </u>	the possession or use of a fia failure to register under 18	rearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon U.S.C. § 2250
(2) The offense de or local offense	- · ·	nitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
	s than 5 years has elapsed since bed in finding (1).	e the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2	2) and (3) establish a rebuttable	presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another fendant has not rebutted that presumption.
•	•	ernative Findings (A)
(1) There is proba		endant has committed an offense
Controlle	n a maximum prison term of ten ed Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 8 B U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2) The defendant	has not rebutted the presumption	on established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions ance and the safety of the community.
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ernative Findings (B)
(1) There is a seri	ous risk that the defendant will n	ot appear.
(2) There is a serie	ous risk that the defendant will e	ndanger the safety of another person or the community.
		ent of the Reasons for Detention
	timony and information submitte derance of the evidence that:	ed at the detention hearing establishes by <a>- clear and convincing
2. Defendant is subject	to an immigration detainer and	to contest detention at this time. would not be released in any case. ention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	June 9, 2015	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	